



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/830,188                         | 07/30/2001      | Jean-Francois Gestin | 98 BA INS SAM 2901     |                  |
| 466                                | 7590 09/29/2004 |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| YOUNG & THOMPSON                   |                 |                      | KILLOS, PAUL J         |                  |
| 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET<br>2ND FLOOR |                 |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                    | N, VA 22202     | 1625                 |                        |                  |
|                                    | 4               |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/29/200 | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ·  | 09/830,188  | GESTIN ET AL.                |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paul J. Killos  | 1625                         |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | •   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/NPaper No(s)/Mail Date 23 04 2004   |   | Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/830,188

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 13, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim s 13,17, and 18 provide for the use of the compounds of claim 1, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 13, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claims 1-3,4,5,6,8,12,16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims recite a disclaimer in the independent claim 1 (CDTPA and CTTHA). The disclaimer should be used when the remaining subject matter cannot be defined clearly by means of positive features. The disclaimer should not relate to the same subject matter as in the application. Applicants have not provided any references to indicate

Application/Control Number: 09/830,188

Art Unit: 1625

why the disclaimer. Both CDTPA and CTTHA are well known. The full terms for the abbreviation CDTPA and CTTHA should be given for purpose of clarity.

The terms "preferably" recited in claim 1, "in particular" recited in claim 4 and "more particular" recited in claims 12 and 16 do not limit the scope of the claims. These features are regarded as optional.

Since, in claim 4, the feature following "in particular" differentiates the subject matter of claims 4 and 5, the term should be deleted, and claim 4 should be appropriately amended such that the subject matter is different from claim 5.

The term "all the coupling function" as recited in claim 4 is unclear and as such renders the claim indefinite.

Claim 18 consists of four uses which can be categorized as first use followed by second, third, and fourth medical uses. These uses should be formulated as individual "method of treatment" claims for the purposes of clarity. The new "method of treatment" must be inventive.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5,6-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S Patens 5,021,571 published 04 June 1991 or U.S. Patent 5,334,729 published 02 August 1994.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,188

Art Unit: 1625

Both references teach the claimed compounds and their pharmaceutical/diagnostic use.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul J. Killos whose telephone number is 571-2720687. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. 8:30-6:00.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul J. Killos Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

pjk